<span id="page-0-0"></span>

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron

Tetrahedron 62 (2006) 10555–10566

# Palladium-catalyzed substitution reactions of 4-allylimidazole derivatives

Pasupathy Krishnamoorthy, Rasapalli Sivappa, Hongwang Du and Carl J. Lovely\*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX 76019, United States

Received 20 January 2006; revised 5 May 2006; accepted 25 May 2006 Available online 7 August 2006

Abstract—In the context of synthetic studies toward the oroidin family of pyrrole–imidazole alkaloids, we required an efficient method for conducting substitution reactions of allylic alcohols derived from urocanic acid. While in some cases this could be accomplished quite readily by classical nucleophilic substitution, it was complicated by allylic transposition in others. Application of Pd-catalyzed  $\pi$ –allyl chemistry provided a convenient solution, giving substitution without allylic transposition. Herein we describe the scope of this reaction in imidazole-containing substrates, and the elaboration of one adduct into a homologated histidine derivative, and into a cyclic homohistidine derivative.

2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Marine sponges have proven to be remarkable sources of natural products displaying complex and diverse architectures, coupled with important biological activities.<sup>1</sup> Among these is a small family of pyrrole–imidazole natural products, collectively known as the oroidin alkaloids. $2^{\degree}$  $2^{\degree}$ This family of marine alkaloids, which are thought to be biogenetically derived from oroidin and congeners (1–3, Fig. 1), presents numerous challenges to organic synthesis due to their often complex architecture and the presence of sensitive functional groups. Among the more complex members of this family of pyrrole–imidazole natural products are the dimeric palau'amine  $(4)$ ,<sup>[3,4](#page-11-0)</sup> axinellamine A  $(5)$ ,<sup>[5,6](#page-11-0)</sup> and massadine  $(6, Fig. 1)$ ,<sup>[7](#page-11-0)</sup> which contain various types of ring fusions, and each possesses a densely functionalized cyclopentane ring. Inspired by these molecules, our lab has been engaged in developing approaches to these targets, and has reported on a Diels–Alder-rearrangement strategy ([Fig. 2](#page-1-0)) involving vinylimidazoles as a means to access the spiro-fused ring system characteristic of 4–6.<sup>[8,9](#page-11-0)</sup>

In the course of implementing this strategy, it was found that the more electron-rich substrates participated in the oxidative rearrangement chemistry more efficiently  $(10\rightarrow 11,$ [Fig. 2\)](#page-1-0); $8d$  however, the preparation of such substrates was often plagued by difficulties. For example, the DMASprotected phthalimide 13 could be obtained readily from the corresponding alcohol 12 through either Mitsunobu

chemistry or via the in situ preparation of the allyl chloride and reaction with potassium phthalimide ([Scheme 1](#page-1-0)). $8c,10$ Whereas, in contrast, the Bn-protected phthalimide 15 derivative could only be obtained in relatively low yield via either pathway (Gabriel route is shown in [Scheme 1\)](#page-1-0). The attenuated yield was due to competitive substitution providing the transposed product.<sup>[11](#page-11-0)</sup> The MOM-protected phthalimide  $17$ can be obtained via the Gabriel pathway in moderate yields, but similar problems with allylic transposition occur during the preparation of N-alkoxyphthalimide 18, where 19 forms in substantial amounts. Access to 18 was required for the



Figure 1. Oroidin and some dimeric congeners.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 817 272 5446; fax: +1 817 272 3808; e-mail: [lovely@uta.edu](mailto:lovely@uta.edu)

<sup>0040-4020/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2006.05.090

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 2. Synthetic strategy toward spiro-fused imidazolylcyclopentane.



#### Scheme 1.

preparation of some N–O-linked systems for evaluation in intramolecular Diels–Alder reactions.[12](#page-11-0) When these results are viewed as a whole, there appears to be a correlation between the electron density of the imidazole moiety and the proportion of allylic transposition, that is the more electron rich the imidazole, the more transposition tends to be observed. We assume that these reactions proceed via SN2/SN2<sup>'</sup> pathways but with the development of significant positive charge.[13](#page-11-0) Interpretation of these results suggests that the contribution of the resonance form 21 with the positive charge proximal to the imidazole to the overall structure dictates which pathway is followed (Fig. 2). In the case of more electron-rich imidazoles (Bn, MOM) this contribution is more important, and so the  $SN2'$  pathway becomes more competitive, whereas with the more electron poor (dimethylaminosulfonyl  $=$  DMAS) this is less important and so SN2 is favored (Fig. 3).

The preparation of the phthalimide derivatives (13, 15, 17) was driven by our need to access the corresponding amines, while this could be satisfied by using an alternative pathway,



Figure 3. Resonance stabilization of imidazolyl-derived allylic carbocation.

i.e., reductive amination with the corresponding  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -unsatu-rated aldehyde.<sup>[14](#page-11-0)</sup> Access to 18 and related congeners however, could not be solved in this way. Furthermore, as our studies progressed, it became increasingly apparent that a solution to the preparation of the more electron-rich Bn- and MOM-protected derivatives had to be found. As indicated above, substrates with these protecting groups engaged in the rearrangement chemistry with greater facility. At this point we became intrigued as to the possibility of using  $\pi$ -allyl chemistry catalyzed by Pd(0).<sup>[15](#page-11-0)</sup> A literature search indicated that no examples involving imidazole substituted allyl systems had been reported,<sup>[16](#page-11-0)</sup> therefore we sought to investigate the viability of this chemistry as it would not only provide a convenient approach to 18, but may allow access to a variety of other derivatives. The results of this investigation are described below.

The allylic alcohols 14 and 16 are readily available from urocanic acid via the methyl ester (23) and chemoselective protection.[17](#page-11-0) Acetylation with acetic anhydride, acetyl chloride, ethyl chloroformate or (BOC)<sub>2</sub>O occurred uneventfully ([Scheme 2\)](#page-2-0), setting the stage for the key substitution reaction. Our initial experiment was conducted with 24 and N-hydroxysuccinimide as a nucleophile, using  $Pd_2dba_3$ (3 mol %) and PPh<sub>3</sub> (7 mol %), and we were delighted to discover that the reaction proceeded to provide the terminal substitution product in 86% [\(Table 1](#page-2-0), entry 1) as the sole isolated product. Analysis of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture provided evidence that no

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Scheme 2.



Scheme 3.

Table 1. Products and yields from allylic substitution reactions

SN2'-susbstitution had occurred. Given the success of this initial reaction, several other nucleophiles were examined, including both heteroatom (Table 1, entries 1–6) and carbon-based (Table 1, entries 7–13). As can be seen from the examples depicted in Table 1, a variety of nucleophiles engage successfully in this reaction. Particularly gratifying was the expedient and selective synthesis of the phthalimide 15 (Table 1, entry 5) and alkoxyphthalimide 18 (Table 1, entry 6). Essentially the same conditions can be employed  $(3-7 \text{ mol }\% \text{ Pd}_2 \text{dba}_3)$ , although some changes in solvent



(continued)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1. (continued)

Entry	Substrate	Nucleophile	$\mbox{{\sc Conditions}}^{\rm a}$	$Product$	Yield/%
$\,8\,$	${\bf 24}$	EtO OEt Na <sup>4</sup>	THF, 17 h	$CO2Et$ $\searrow$ CO <sub>2</sub> Et $\mathsf{B}_n^j$ 34	69
$\boldsymbol{9}$	${\bf 28}$	$\frac{0}{\parallel}$ EtO	$CH_2Cl_2$ , $K_2CO_3$ , 16 h	O CO <sub>2</sub> Et <b>MOM</b> 35	$74\,$
$10\,$	28		$\rm CH_2Cl_2,~K_2CO_3,~14~h$	MOM-N MOM-N Ó N 36	$76\,$
$11\,$	${\bf 24}$	Ph CO <sub>2</sub> Et Ph $Na+$	THF, 60 °C, 36 h	Ph .Ph CO <sub>2</sub> Et Ņ Bn ${\bf 37}$	69
$12\,$	${\bf 25}$	$EtO_2C$ NO <sub>2</sub>	$CH_2Cl_2$ , reflux, 12 h <sup>18</sup>	.NO <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> Et $\overrightarrow{R}$ 38	$73\,$
13	${\bf 28}$	$EtO_2C \sim NO_2$	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> , reflux, 12 h	NO <sub>2</sub> $\overline{CO}_2$ Et MOM 39	62

<sup>a</sup> All reactions were conducted at room temperature unless otherwise noted.

are required to accommodate solubility of some systems, and in some cases heat is required. Of the 13 examples illus-trated in [Table 1](#page-2-0), no evidence of the  $SN2'$  pathway was observed on analysis of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures ([Scheme 3](#page-2-0)).

Part of the attraction of the successful realization of this chemistry was the possibility of utilizing new types of nucleophiles that might expedite the synthesis of the oroidin alkaloids[.2](#page-10-0) In particular, we wanted to examine pyrrolesubstituted imides (e.g.,  $40$  in Scheme  $4$ )<sup>[19](#page-11-0)</sup> as a means to incorporate this moiety more directly into targets. Typically, this group is incorporated through acylation of the corresponding amine, which frequently arises through elaboration of an alcohol,<sup>20</sup> and so this strategy would significantly reduce the number of synthetic manipulations. Pyrroles react efficiently with isocyanates to provide the corresponding 2-acyl pyrrole, thus when pyrrole was treated with benzyloxy isocyanate, the corresponding 2-CBZ-imide was obtained. When 40 (after deprotonation with NaH) was used in the Pd-catalyzed substitution chemistry (Scheme 4), it was found that substitution had occurred, but the adduct possessed only one benzyl moiety. Our initial suspicion was that simple reductive debenzylation of the CBZ moiety occurred



Scheme 4.

after the alkylation through the action of adventitious Pd/H species, providing 41. However, it quickly became apparent that this analysis was erroneous, as the  $13C$  NMR spectrum revealed the presence of two carbonyl absorptions. It has been shown that imides related to 40 can undergo an intramolecular cyclization reaction between the pyrrole nitrogen and the  $\beta$ -carbonyl to generate a cyclic imide under thermal activation.[19](#page-11-0) Based on the two carbonyl absorptions observed in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, and on mass spectral data, it was thought that the product was in fact 43. To test this hypothesis, the cyclic imide 42 was prepared according to the method of Papadopoulos and then subjected to the substitution chemistry.<sup>[19](#page-11-0)</sup> Gratifyingly, not only did the imide engage in the substitution reaction, but provided the same adduct as 40, in 54% yield ([Scheme 4\)](#page-3-0). It was found that the pyrrole carboximide in 43 could be revealed simply on treatment with aqueous NaOH, providing 41 in 72% yield, which can be envisioned as a precursor to clathrodin (3, [Fig. 1\)](#page-0-0).

Several active methylene components have been employed in this chemistry with equal facility, including allyl and propargyl malonate systems [\(Table 1](#page-2-0), entries 7 and 8). It was envisioned that these latter derivatives would provide substrates for investigation in the intramolecular Diels– Alder reaction.<sup>[21](#page-11-0)</sup> Meldrum's acid also participates nicely in this substitution chemistry, providing the bis adduct in good yield ([Table 1](#page-2-0), entry 10). Several glycine synthons were employed in the reaction and found to successfully engage in substitution ([Table 1,](#page-2-0) entries 11–13), potentially providing precursors for the preparation of homologous histidine analogs. Subjection of either 37 or 38 to transfer

 $NO<sub>2</sub>$ 

hydrogenation conditions led to the reduction of the nitro and alkene moieties and cleavage of the benzyl protecting group, providing 44 in excellent yield (Scheme 5).<sup>[22,23](#page-11-0)</sup> Subsequent ester hydrolysis and purification by the ionexchange chromatography provided the homohistidine ana- $\log 45$  (Scheme 5).<sup>2</sup>

Additionally, it was found that 38 can serve as a building block for further elaboration, for example, the acidic C–H can be substituted under phase transfer conditions, providing enyne 46 in moderate yield (Scheme 6). This undergoes a Diels–Alder reaction providing the expected cycloadduct 47 in 48% yield, along with the aromatized congener 48 (28%). Treatment of 46 with Pd/C in toluene at 145 °C furnishes the aromatic adduct 48 as the only product in 39% yield. Alternatively the aromatic adduct can be obtained directly from the cycloaddition when it is conducted in the presence of Pd/C (Scheme 6). Subjection of 48 to transfer hydrogenation leads to debenzylation and reduction of the nitro moiety, affording 49. In addition to the desired compound, and a comparable quantity of the deaminated product 50 was obtained. Ester hydrolysis and purification by ion-exchange chromatography led to the isolation of the constrained homohistidine derivative (Scheme 6).

In summary, we have developed an efficient method for allylic substitution of imidazole derivatives that proceeds without allylic rearrangement. These reactions are tolerant of a variety of nucleophiles, including heteroatom and active methylene compounds. Among the heteroatom nucleophiles, we demonstrate the utility of a pyrrole carboximide (42) as a new and more direct means to introduce this moiety



into the oroidin alkaloids.[25](#page-11-0) Two of the adducts were converted to homohistidine adducts, including a novel cyclic derivative. We are currently evaluating other nucleophiles in this chemistry and the utility of asymmetric variants, we will report on these efforts in due course.

### 2. Experimental

### 2.1. General

All chemicals were purchased from commercial vendors and were used as received unless stated otherwise. All reactions were conducted under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen in oven-dried glassware. Solvents were dried using a Pure-Solv 400 solvent purification system (Innovative Technologies Inc.), except for DMF, which was dried over  $CaH<sub>2</sub>$  and then distilled. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were acquired at 300 or 500 MHz in CDCl3, unless indicated otherwise, using residual CHCl<sub>3</sub> as a reference.<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained at 75 or 125 MHz in CDCl3, unless otherwise indicated, using solvent as an internal standard. Low-resolution mass spectra were obtained in-house by electron impact (MS-EI), highresolution mass spectra were obtained at the University of Florida by electrospray ionization (HRMS-ESI).

2.1.1.  $(1E)$ -1- $(1$ -Methoxymethyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-2**propen-1-ol** (16). NaH  $(60\% \text{ oil dispersion}, 2.10 \text{ g})$ , 52.5 mmol) was added portionwise to a cold  $(0^{\circ}C)$  solution of methyl 3- $(1H$ -imidazol-4-yl)acrylate  $(7.75 \text{ g}, 50.0 \text{ mmol})$ in dry DMF (100 mL) under  $N_2$  protection. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1.5 h, then cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C again and neat MOMCl (3.99 mL, 52.5 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The DMF was removed in vacuo. The remaining solid was partitioned between water (50 mL) and EtOAc (300 mL), the organic phase was dried  $(Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)$  and concentrated to give an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis indicated that this material was a 10:3 mixture of the 4- and 5-regioisomers. Separation can be accomplished by column chromatography (EtOAc $\rightarrow$ EtOAc/MeOH 7:1). However, this mixture was transferred to a sealed tube, acetonitrile (20 mL) and MOMCl (0.10 mL, 1.20 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated at  $120^{\circ}$ C for 24 h, the 5-isomer was completely converted to 4-isomer. Concentration gave the desired 4-isomer (8.50 g, 91%) as an off-white solid; mp 85–86 °C. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1703, 1641.<br><sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz):  $\delta$ –3.28 (s. 3H), 3.76 (s. 3H), 5.21 (s. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz):  $\delta$ =3.28 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 5.21 (s, 2H), 6.57 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.54 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.60 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz):  $\delta = 51.7, 56.5, 78.0, 116.6, 121.1, 136.0, 138.8, 139.1,$ 168.0; MS-EI (m/z): 196.1 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100%), 165.1 (M<sup>+</sup>-31, 37%). Anal. Calcd for  $C_9H_{12}N_2O_3$ : C, 55.09; H, 6.16; N, 14.28. Found: C, 55.17; H, 5.97; N, 14.03.

To a solution of the ester  $(4.00 \text{ g}, 20.0 \text{ mmol})$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$ (170 mL) under  $N_2$  was added dropwise DIBAL-H (1 M in hexanes, 3 equiv 60.0 mL, 60.0 mmol) at  $-78$  °C over 100 min, the mixture was allowed to slowly warm up to room temperature (about 80 min) and then cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C. Methanol (10 mL) was added slowly, and then water (70 mL) and NaOH (1 N, 30 mL). The mixture was filtered through Celite and washed with  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ . The organic layer

of the filtrate was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3×50 mL). The combined  $CH_2Cl_2$ extracts were washed with saturated brine, dried  $(MgSO<sub>4</sub>)$ , and concentrated. The residue was subjected to chromatography (EtOAc/methanol  $6/1$ ) to afford 16 (2.40 g, 72%) as a light yellow liquid. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3237; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta = 3.23$  (s, 3H), 4.24 (s, 2H), 5.14 (s, 2H), 6.47– 6.48 (m, 2H), 6.92 (s, 1H), 7.51 (s, 1H); 13C NMR: d¼56.2, 63.1, 77.8, 116.3, 121.9, 128.7, 137.7, 140.9; MS-EI (m/z): 167.9 (M<sup>+</sup>, 50%), 138.9 (M<sup>+</sup>-29, 100%).

2.1.2. (1E)-1-Benzyl-4-[3-(N-phthaloyl)-1-propenyl]imidazole  $(15)$ . Compound  $14$   $(220 \text{ mg}, 1.03 \text{ mmol})$  was dissolved in dry THF (30 mL). The mixture was cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C and thionyl chloride (155 mg) was added slowly. The mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred for another 2 h. The solution was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in DMF (3 mL) and potassium phthalimide (4.7 g, 26 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred 50 h at rt, and then partitioned between EtOAc (100 mL) and water (20 mL). The organic phase was dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and concentrated. Purification of the residue by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/hexane  $3:1 \rightarrow EtOAc/MeOH$  6:1) gave 130 mg of 15 (37%) as an off-white solid; mp  $177-178$  °C. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1758, 1700; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz):  $\delta = 4.39$  (d, J=6.4 Hz, 2H), 5.03 (s, 2H), 6.34 (dt,  $J=15.6$ , 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 7.10–7.12 (m, 2H), 7.29– 7.34 (m, 3H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.68–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.80–7.82 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz):  $\delta = 39.6, 50.9, 117.4,$ 121.2, 123.3, 125.4, 127.3, 128.4, 129.1, 132.3, 133.9, 136.0, 137.3, 140.0, 168.0; MS-EI (m/z): 343.5 (M<sup>+</sup>, 40%), 252.2 (M<sup>+</sup>-91, 100%). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 73.45; H, 4.99; N, 12.24. Found: C, 73.54; H, 5.22; N, 11.86.

2.1.3. (1E)-1-Methoxymethyl-4-[3-(N-phthaloyl)-1-propenyl]imidazole (17). Allylic alcohol 16 (1.76 g, 10.5 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (30 mL). The mixture was cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C and thionyl chloride (0.77 mL, 10.5 mmol) was added slowly. The mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred for another 2 h. The solution was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in 50 mL of DMF and potassium phthalimide (4.70 g, 25.4 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred 15 h at rt, and then partitioned between EtOAc (100 mL) and water (20 mL). The organic phase was dried with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and concentrated. Purification of the residue by silica gel chromatography gave 1.65 g  $(53%)$  of 16 as an offwhite solid; mp  $149-150$  °C. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1768, 1701;<br><sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz):  $\delta$ -3.23 (s, 3H) 4.42 (d,  $I=6.4$  Hz <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz):  $\delta$ =3.23 (s, 3H), 4.42 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 2H), 5.15 (s, 2H), 6.39 (dd,  $J=15.7$ , 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d,  $J=15.7$  Hz, 1H), 6.94 (s, 1H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.68–7.71 (m, 2H), 7.81–7.84 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz):  $\delta = 39.5$ , 56.2, 77.8, 116.8, 121.9, 123.3, 125.1, 132.3, 134.0, 137.8, 140.4, 168.0; MS-EI (m/z): 297.2 (M<sup>+</sup>, 65%), 252.2 (M<sup>+</sup>-45, 100%), 225.2 (M<sup>+</sup>-72, 100%). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{16}H_{15}N_3O_3$ : C, 64.64; H, 5.09; N, 14.13. Found: C, 64.62; H, 4.92; N, 14.01.

2.1.4. 2-[(2E)-3-(1-Methoxymethyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-2 propenoxy]isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione (18) and 2-[1-(1-methoxymethyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-2-propenoxy]isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione (19). Diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (337 mg,

1.67 mmol) was added neat to a premixed solution of alcohol 16 (200 mg, 1.19 mmol), PPh3 (437 mg, 1.67 mmol), and N-hydroxyphthalimide (252 mg, 1.55 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) at  $0^{\circ}$ C. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure. The oily residue was purified by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 1:4) providing 18 and 19. Further purification by preparative TLC afforded pure 18 and 19. Compound 18 (100 mg, 27%); mp: 133– 135 °C. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1786, 1730; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz})$ :  $\delta = 3.26$  (s, 3H), 4.81 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 2H), 5.15 (s, 2H), 6.50 (dt,  $J=15.6$ , 6.9 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.52 (s, 1H), 7.69 (dd,  $J=5.5$ , 3.0 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (dd, J=5.5, 3.0 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz):  $\delta$ =56.3, 77.8, 78.6, 117.3, 120.9, 123.5, 129.0, 129.1, 134.4, 137.8, 140.0, 163.8; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{16}H_{16}N_3O_4$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 314.1135, found 314.1129. Compound 19 (40 mg; 11%); mp: 92–94 °C. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1729; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta = 3.56$  (s, 3H), 5.49 (ABq, J=10.8 Hz, 2H), 5.67 (d,  $J=10.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.74 (d,  $J=17.1$  Hz, 1H), 6.06 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (ddd, J = 18.9, 10.5, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d,  $J=0.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d,  $J=1.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.98–8.01 (m, 2H), 8.05–8.08 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta = 56.3$ , 77.9, 84.5, 118.7, 121.8, 123.5, 128.9, 133.7, 134.3, 137.5, 139.2, 163.8; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{16}H_{16}N_3O_4$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 314.1135, found 314.1133.

2.1.5. (2E)-3-(1-Benzyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-2-propenyl tert-butyl carbonate  $(24)$ . The allyl alcohol 14  $(2.00 \text{ g})$ , 9.33 mmol), di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (2.50 g, 11.2 mmol), tetra-n-butylammonium hydrogen sulfate (158 mg, 0.47 mmol), and 30% w/w aqueous NaOH (4 mL) were mixed together in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 mL) at  $0^{\circ}$ C and stirred at rt for 6–8 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (10 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane; 65:35), affording 26 (2.00 g, 68%) as a pale yellow solid; mp: 73–75 °C. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2979, 1738; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ =1.47  $(s, 3H), 4.67$  (d, J=6.3 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (s, 2H), 5.06 (s, 2H), 6.37 (dt, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (d, J=15.9 Hz, 1H), 6.83  $(d, J=1.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.14 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 7.31-7.37 \text{ (m, 3H)}, 7.48$ (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta = 27.8$ , 50.9, 67.5, 82.0, 117.6, 121.3, 126.2, 127.3, 128.4, 129.1, 135.9, 137.8, 140.0, 153.5; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{18}H_{23}N_2O_3$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 315.1703, found 315.1699.

2.1.6. (2E)-3-(1-Benzyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-2-propenyl acetate (25). Acetyl chloride (0.37 mL, 5.3 mmol) was added dropwise to a mixture of 14 (750 mg, 3.50 mmol) and  $K_2CO_3$  (966 mg, 7.00 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) at  $0^{\circ}$ C. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h, after which water (3 mL) was added and the organic solution was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3×10 mL) and the combined organic solutions were dried  $(Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)$ , filtered, and concentrated. The resulting crude product was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 4:1) to furnish the pure acetate 25 (440 mg, 73%) as a thick colorless oil. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1733; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ =2.06 (s, 3H), 4.65  $(d, J=6.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 5.03 \text{ (s, 2H)}, 6.34 \text{ (dt, } J=15.9, 6.0 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 6.48 (d, J=15.9 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 7.11-7.13 (m, 2H), 7.29–7.33 (m, 3H), 7.47 (s, 1H); 13C NMR  $(75 \text{ MHz})$ :  $\delta = 21.1, 50.9, 65.1, 117.6, 121.6, 125.9, 127.3,$ 128.4, 129.1, 135.9, 137.8, 139.8, 171.0; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{15}H_{17}N_2O_2$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 257.1285, found 257.1280.

2.1.7. (2E)-3-(1-Benzyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-2-propenyl ethyl carbonate (26). Ethyl chloroformate (0.81 mL, 8.4 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 14 (1.5 g, 7.0 mmol) and triethylamine (1.95 mL, 14.0 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring the reaction mixture at rt for 6 h, water (3 mL) was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3×10 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , filtered, and concentrated. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc;  $65:35$ ) to furnish the carbonate 26  $(1.56 \text{ g}, 78\%)$ as a thick colorless oil. IR (neat,  $cm^{-1}$ ): 2926, 1742; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.28$  (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 4.17 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.73 (dd, J=6.3, 0.9 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (s, 2H), 6.40 (dt,  $J=15.6$ , 6.3 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, J=0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (m, 2H), 7.33 (m, 3H), 7.47 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta$ =14.3, 50.9, 64.0, 68.3, 117.8, 120.9, 126.5, 127.3, 128.4, 129.1, 135.9, 137.8, 139.9, 155.1; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{16}H_{19}N_2O_3$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 287.1396, found 287.1385.

2.1.8. tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(1-methoxymethyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-2-propenyl carbonate (27). A mixture 16 (500 mg, 2.98 mmol), di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (844 mg, 3.87 mmol), tetra-n-butylammonium hydrogen sulfate  $(50 \text{ mg}, 0.15 \text{ mmol})$ , and  $2 \text{ mL}$  of  $30\%$  w/w aqueous NaOH were mixed together CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 mL) at  $0^{\circ}$ C and stirred at rt for 6–8 h. Water (10 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and the organic layer was separated, dried  $(Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)$ , filtered, and concentrated. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography on a silica gel column (hexane/EtOAc; 30:70), affording the product as a thick oil (495 mg, 62%). IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2980, 2936, 1738, 1498;<br><sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ -1 47 (s) 9H) 3.25 (s) 3H) 4.68 (d) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ =1.47 (s, 9H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 4.68 (d,  $J=6.0$  Hz, 2H), 5.17 (s, 2H), 6.41 (dt,  $J=15.9$ , 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (d, J=15.9 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (s, 1H), 7.52 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz): δ=27.8, 56.2, 67.4, 77.8, 82.1, 117.0, 122.0, 125.8, 137.8, 140.2, 153.4; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{13}H_{21}N_2O_4 (M+H)^+$  269.1496, found 269.1492.

2.1.9.  $(2E)$ -3- $(1-Methoxy$  methyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-2propenyl acetate (28). Acetic anhydride (1.01 mL, 10.7 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 16 (1.2 g, 7.14 mmol), pyridine (1.13 g, 14.3 mmol), and DMAP (50 mg) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h, after which water (3 mL) was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> ( $3\times10$  mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , filtered, and concentrated. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 1:9) to furnish the pure acetate 28 (950 mg, 64%) as a thick colorless oil. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2935, 1735; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ =2.06 (s, 3H), 3.07 (s, 3H), 4.67 (d, J=6.0 Hz, 2H), 5.17 (s, 2H), 6.39 (dt,  $J=15.9$ , 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (d,  $J=15.9$  Hz, 1H), 6.97 (s, 1H), 7.52 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta$ =21.1, 56.2, 65.0, 116.9, 122.2, 125.6, 137.8, 140.3, 170.9; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{10}H_{15}N_2O_3$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 211.1077, found 211.1074.

2.1.10. 2-[(2E)-3-(1-Benzyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-2-propenoxy]isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione (29). To a degassed reaction mixture containing allylic carbonate 24 (150 mg, 0.47 mmol),  $PPh_3$  (8 mg, 0.032 mmol), and N-hydroxyphthalimide (65 mg, 0.40 mmol) in  $5$  mL of CH<sub>3</sub>CN was added  $Pd_2$ (dba)<sub>3</sub> (15 mg, 0.016 mmol) and stirred at rt for 28 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 1:9) to furnish 29 (123 mg, 86%) as a pale yellow solid; mp:  $121-123$  °C. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1729; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz): 4.81 (d, J=6.3 Hz, 2H), 5.06 (s, 2H), 6.47 (dt,  $J=15.9$ , 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (d,  $J=15.9$  Hz, 1H), 6.90 (s, 1H), 7.15 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.38 (m, 3H), 7.46–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.69–7.72 (dd,  $J=3.0$ , 5.1 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (dd,  $J=5.4$ , 3.0 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta = 51.1, 78.7, 117.9, 120.4, 123.5, 127.5, 128.5, 128.6,$ 129.0, 129.1, 129.3, 134.4, 135.6, 137.6, 139.5, 163.8; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{21}H_{18}N_3O_3$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 360.1343, found 360.1335.

2.1.11. O-[(2E)-3-(1-Benzyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-2-propenyl]benzophenone oxime (30). To the degassed reaction mixture containing allylic acetate 25 (100 mg, 0.39 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (13 mg, 0.048 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (54 mg, 0.39 mmol), and benzophenone oxime  $(64 \text{ mg}, 0.32 \text{ mmol})$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$ (10 mL) was added  $Pd_2(dba)$ <sub>3</sub> (0.021 g, 0.023 mmol) followed by stirring at rt for 14 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and then purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 21:3) to furnish **30** as a thick oil (0.096 g, 98%). IR (neat):  $cm^{-1} = 1660$ , 1494, 1444; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta = 5.07$  (d, J=4.8 Hz, 2H), 5.31 (s, 2H), 6.75 (m, 2H), 7.08 (d,  $J=1.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.51–7.65 (m, 10H), 7.72–7.75 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta = 51.2$ , 75.2, 117.5, 124.5, 124.9, 127.6, 128.3, 128.4, 128.4, 128.6, 129.0, 129.3, 129.4, 129.7, 133.7, 136.1, 137.0, 137.9, 140.8, 156.9; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{26}H_{24}N_3O (M+H)^+$  394.1914, found 394.1905.

2.1.12. 4-[(2E)-3-(1-Benzyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-2-propenyl]morpholine (31). To the degassed reaction mixture containing allylic carbonate 24 (200 mg, 0.64 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (13 mg, 0.05 mmol), and morpholine  $(0.056 \text{ mL})$ , 0.65 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) was added  $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (23 mg, 0.025 mmol) and stirred at rt for 16 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by column chromatography (MeOH/EtOAc; 7:13) to furnish 31 (150 mg, 83%) as thick oil. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2856, 2806, 1538, 1495; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta = 2.47$  (dd, J=4.5 Hz, 4H), 3.09 (d,  $J=6.9$  Hz, 2H), 3.69 (dd,  $J=3.6$  Hz, 4H), 5.04 (s, 2H), 6.28 (dt,  $J=15.3$ , 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.39 (d,  $J=15.3$  Hz, 1H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 7.14 (m, 2H), 7.29–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.46 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta$ =50.9, 53.6, 61.3, 67.1, 116.6, 124.3, 125.3, 127.3 (2C), 128.4, 129.1 (2C), 136.0, 137.6, 140.6; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{17}H_{22}N_3O$   $(M+H)^+$ 284.1757, found 284.1751.

2.1.13. (2E)-3-(1-benzyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-2-propenyl azide (32). To the degassed reaction mixture containing 24  $(200 \text{ mg}, 0.64 \text{ mmol})$ , PPh<sub>3</sub>  $(13 \text{ mg}, 0.05 \text{ mmol})$ , and NaN<sub>3</sub>  $(50 \text{ mg}, 0.76 \text{ mmol})$  in 4:1 CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O  $(5 \text{ mL})$  was added  $Pd_2(dba)$ <sub>3</sub> (23 mg, 0.025 mmol) and stirred at rt for 16 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography using hexane/ethyl acetate 3:7 to furnish 32 (120 mg, 79%) as a thick oil. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3109, 2112, 1661; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz):  $\delta = 3.88$  (d, J=6.4 Hz, 2H), 5.07 (s, 2H), 6.35 (dt, J=15.6, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.15 (m, 2H), 7.32– 7.37 (m, 3H), 7.48 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz):  $\delta = 51.0$ , 53.0, 117.7, 120.8, 126.2, 127.4, 128.4, 129.1, 135.9, 137.8, 139.8. HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{13}H_{14}N_5$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 240.1244, found 240.1239.

2.1.14. (1E)-1-Benzyl-4-[3-(N-phthaloyl)-1-propenyl] imidazole (15). To the degassed reaction mixture containing **26** (100 mg, 0.35 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (11 mg, 0.041 mmol), and potassium phthalimide (78 mg, 0.42 mmol) in DMF  $(5 \text{ mL})$  was added Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub> (20 mg, 0.020 mmol) and stirred at 100 $\degree$ C for 14 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 3:17) to furnish 15 (108 mg, 90%) as a colorless solid.

2.1.15. 2-[(2E)-3-(1-Methoxymethyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)- 2-propenoxy]isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione (18). To the degassed reaction mixture containing 27 (145 mg, 0.54 mmol),  $PPh_3$  (17 mg, 0.064 mmol), and N-hydroxyphthalimide (132 mg, 0.81 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was added  $Pd_2(dba)$ <sub>3</sub> (29 mg, 0.032 mmol) and stirred at room temperature for 38 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 1:4) to furnish  $18(130 \text{ mg}, 77\%)$  as a colorless solid.

2.1.16. Dimethyl 2- $[(2E)-3-(1-benzyl-1H-imidazol-4-y])$ -2-propenyl]-2-(2-propynyl)malonate (33). To the degassed reaction mixture containing 24 (150 mg, 0.48 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (10 mg, 0.038 mmol) in dry THF (3 mL), was added  $Pd_2(dba)$ <sub>3</sub> (18 mg, 0.019 mmol). After stirring at rt for 5 min, freshly generated sodium salt generated from dimethyl propargyl malonate [(132 mg, 0.67 mmol) in dry THF  $(5 \text{ mL})$  at  $0^{\circ}$ C was added  $60\%$  NaH  $(26 \text{ mg})$ , 1.08 mmol) and then stirred at room temperature for 30 min] was added and stirred at room temperature for 17 h. The THF was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was partitioned between water (2 mL) and  $CH_2Cl_2$  (15 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried  $(Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)$ , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 7:13) to furnish  $33$  (130 mg, 74%) as a thick colorless oil. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3289, 2935, 1735;<br><sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ -2 11 (t, I-2 7 Hz, 1H) 2.93 (d <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ =2.11 (t, J=2.7 Hz, 1H), 2.93 (d,  $J=2.4$  Hz, 2H), 3.03 (d,  $J=8.1$  Hz, 2H), 3.83 (s, 6H), 5.14  $(s, 2H), 6.15$  (dt, J=15.3, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (d, J=15.3 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (s, 1H), 7.27–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.46 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta = 22.8$ , 35.8, 51.0, 53.0, 57.3, 71.6, 79.2, 116.8, 121.2, 126.7, 127.5, 128.5, 129.2, 136.1, 137.6, 140.7, 170.3; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{21}H_{23}N_2O_4$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 367.1638, found 367.1652.

2.1.17. Diethyl 2-(2-propenyl)-2-[(2E)-3-(1-benzyl-1Himidazol-4-yl)-2-propenyl]malonate (34). To the degassed reaction mixture containing  $24$  (150 mg, 0.48 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (10 mg, 0.038 mmol) in dry THF (3 mL), was added  $Pd_2(dba)$ <sub>3</sub> (19 mg, 0.019 mmol). After stirring at room temperature for 5 min, freshly generated sodium salt generated

from diethyl allylmalonate [(130 mg, 0.65 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL) at  $0^{\circ}$ C was added 60% NaH (23 mg, 0.95 mmol and stirred at room temperature for 30 min] was added and stirred at room temperature for 17 h. The THF was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was partitioned between water  $(2 \text{ mL})$  and  $CH_2Cl_2$ (15 mL). Organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 7:13) to furnish 34 (125 mg,  $66\%$ ) yield as thick colorless oil. IR (neat,  $cm^{-1}$ ): 1729; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.20$  (t, J=6.9 Hz, 6H), 2.65 (d,  $J=7.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.73 (d,  $J=7.5$  Hz, 2H), 4.13 (q,  $J=6.9$  Hz, 4H),  $5.01-5.08$  (m, 4H),  $5.68$  (m, 1H),  $6.07$  (dt,  $J=15.6$ , 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.73 (s, 1H), 7.12 (m, 2H), 7.29–7.35 (m, 3H), 7.42 (s, 1H); 13C NMR  $(75 \text{ MHz})$ :  $\delta = 14.2$ ,  $35.9$ ,  $36.7$ ,  $50.9$ ,  $57.7$ ,  $61.2$ ,  $116.3$ , 119.1, 122.0, 126.0, 127.3, 128.3, 129.0, 132.6, 136.1, 137.5, 140.7, 170.8; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{23}H_{29}N_2O_4$ (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 397.2122, found 397.2112.

2.1.18. Ethyl  $(4E)$ -2-acetyl-5- $(1$ -methoxymethyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)pent-4-enoate (35). To the degassed reaction mixture containing  $28$  (150 mg, 0.714 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub>  $(15 \text{ mg}, 0.057 \text{ mmol})$ ,  $K_2CO_3$   $(197 \text{ mg}, 1.43 \text{ mmol})$ , and ethyl acetoacetate (115 mg, 0.88 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$ (10 mL) was added  $Pd_2(dba)$ <sub>3</sub> (26 mg, 0.026 mmol) and stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc; 3:17) to furnish 35 (148 mg,  $74\%$ ) as a thick colorless oil. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1733, 1714; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ =1.24 (t, J=6.9 Hz, 6H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.71 (t, J=6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 3.58 (t,  $J=7.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.19 (q,  $J=6.9$  Hz, 2H), 5.15 (s, 2H), 6.22 (dt,  $J=15.3$ , 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.34 (d, J=15.3 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 7.50 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta$ =14.1, 29.4, 31.4, 56.2, 59.5, 61.5, 77.8, 115.9, 124.1, 124.8, 137.6, 140.9, 169.3, 202.7; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{14}H_{21}N_2O_4$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 281.1496, found 281.1492.

2.1.19. 5,5-Bis-[(1E)-3-(1-methoxymethyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-1-propenyl]-2,2-dimethyl-[1,3]dioxane-4,6-dione (36). To the degassed reaction mixture containing 28  $(150 \text{ mg}, 0.71 \text{ mmol})$ , PPh<sub>3</sub>  $(15 \text{ mg}, 0.057 \text{ mmol})$ , K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (197 mg, 1.43 mmol), and Meldrum's acid (124 mg, 0.86 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) was added  $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (26 mg, 0.026 mmol) and stirred at room temperature for 14 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography (MeOH/ EtOAc; 1:9) to furnish  $36$  (120 mg, 76%) as a pale orange solid; mp: 134–136 °C. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2936, 1736; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ =1.55 (s, 6H), 2.88 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 4H), 3.23 (s, 6H), 5.14 (s, 4H), 6.22 (dt,  $J=15.6$ , 7.5 Hz, 2H), 6.37 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (s, 2H), 7.46 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz): δ=29.2, 42.1, 56.2, 56.5, 77.7, 106.1, 116.7, 120.9, 127.17, 137.8, 140.4, 168.7; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{22}H_{29}N_4O_6$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 445.2082, found 445.2073.

2.1.20. Ethyl (4E)-2-(benzhydrylideneamino)-5-(1 benzyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)pent-4-enoate (37). To the degassed reaction mixture containing 24 (500 mg, 1.59 mmol), PPh3 (50 mg, 0.19 mmol) in dry THF (3 mL), was added  $Pd_2(dba)$ <sub>3</sub> (87 mg, 0.09 mmol). After stirring at room temperature for 5 min, freshly generated sodium salt prepared from N-(diphenylmethylene)glycine ethyl ester [(0.680 g, 2.5 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL) at  $0^{\circ}$ C was added 60% NaH (96 mg, 2.40 mmol) and stirred at room temperature for 15 min] was added and stirred at 60  $\degree$ C for 36 h. The THF was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was partitioned between water  $(2 \text{ mL})$  and  $CH_2Cl_2$ (15 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 7:15) to furnish 37 (508 mg,  $84\%$ ) as a thick colorless oil. IR (neat,  $cm^{-1}$ ): 1733, 1621; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz})$ :  $\delta = 1.22$  (t, J=7.5 Hz, 3H), 2.65–2.84 (m, 2H), 4.15 (m, 3H), 4.99 (s, 2H), 6.11 (dt,  $J=15.9$ , 6.9 Hz, 1H), 6.24–6.29 (d, J=15.9 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, J=1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.09–7.16 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.36 (m, 5H), 7.37–7.41 (m, 4H), 7.61–7.64 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta$ =14.3, 37.3, 50.8, 60.9, 65.8, 116.1, 124.3, 124.7, 127.3, 128.01, 128.07, 128.3, 128.5, 128.6, 128.9, 129.0, 130.3, 136.2, 136.5, 137.4, 139.7, 141.1, 170.6, 171.9; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{30}H_{30}N_3O_2$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 464.2317, found 464.2333.

2.1.21. Ethyl (4E)-5-(1-benzyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-2-nitropent-4-enoate (38). To the degassed reaction mixture containing  $25$  (1.00 g, 3.91 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (122 mg, 0.47 mmol), and ethyl nitroacetate (623 mg, 4.68 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$ (10 mL), was added  $Pd_2(dba)$ <sub>3</sub> (214 mg, 0.23 mmol) and stirred at reflux for 12 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 3:17) to furnish  $38$  (0.94 g, 73%) as a thick colorless oil. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1747, 1559; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ =1.25 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 3.04 (m, 2H), 4.25  $(q, J=7.2 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 5.00 \text{ (s, 2H)}, 5.15 \text{ (dd, } J=9.3, 6.0 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 6.16 (dt,  $J=15.6$ , 6.9 Hz, 1H), 6.36 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H) 6.75 (s, 1H), 7.11 (m, 2H), 7.29 (m, 3H), 7.43 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta$ =13.9, 33.7, 50.9, 63.1, 87.8, 117.3, 119.4, 126.9, 127.4, 128.4, 129.1 (2C), 135.9, 137.7, 139.8, 164.2; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{17}H_{20}N_3O_4$   $(M+H)^+$ 330.1448, found 330.1439.

2.1.22. Ethyl  $(4E)$ -5- $(1$ -methoxymethyl-1H-imidazol-4yl)-2-nitropent-4-enoate (39). To the degassed reaction mixture containing  $28$  (152 mg, 0.72 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (15 mg, 0.057 mmol), and ethyl nitroacetate (115 mg, 0.86 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL), was added  $Pd_2(dba)_3$  (26 mg, 0.029 mmol) and stirred at reflux for 12 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 1:9) to furnish 39 (125 mg, 62%) as a thick colorless oil. IR (neat,  $cm^{-1}$ ): 2933, 1747, 1560; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ =1.27 (t,  $J=7.2$  Hz, 3H), 3.02–3.10 (m, 2H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 4.27 (q,  $J=7.2$  Hz, 2H), 5.14 (s, 2H), 5.18 (dd,  $J=6.0$ , 9.3 Hz, 1H), 6.21 (dt,  $J=15.3$ , 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.39 (d,  $J=15.3$  Hz, 1H), 6.90 (s, 1H), 7.49 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta$ =13.9, 33.7, 56.2, 63.1, 77.8, 87.7, 116.7, 120.2, 126.6, 137.8, 140.2, 164.1; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{12}H_{18}N_3O_5$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 284.1241, found 284.1237.

2.1.23. Benzyl (1H-pyrrole-2-carbonyl)carbamate (40). Benzyloxycarbonyl isocyanate (22.2 g, 0.125 mol) in toluene (50 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of pyrrole (8.38 g, 0.125 mol) in toluene (50 mL), over the course of 1 h. The reaction mixture was kept under nitrogen and its temperature was held at  $30-40$  °C by intermittent cooling. After completion of the addition, the solution was stirred at room temperature for a further 22 h, then it was filtered and the gray precipitate was washed with five 25-mL portions of ether. The precipitate was dissolved in dichloromethane and passed through a bed of silica gel to remove the colored impurities. The product (26.8 g, 88%) obtained after concentration was sufficiently pure enough for further use. Mp: 154– 156 °C. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3274, 1751, 1664, 1508, 1408;<br><sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CD-OD):  $\delta$ -5.21 (s. 2H), 6.19 (dd. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$ =5.21 (s, 2H), 6.19 (dd, J¼3.7, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.02–7.04 (m, H), 7.31–7.37 (m, 3H), 7.42 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta = 66.8, 109.5, 113.8, 124.5, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 135.9,$ 152.2, 159.4; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}N_2O_3Na$ (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 267.0740, found 267.0737.

2.1.24. 2-[(1E)-3-(1-Benzyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-1-propenyl]pyrrolo[1,2-c]imidazole-1,3-dione (43). From 40: To the degassed reaction mixture containing 24 (150 mg, 0.48 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub>  $(15 \text{ mg}, 0.057 \text{ mmol})$  in dry DMF  $(2 \text{ mL})$ , was added Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub> (26 mg, 0.029 mmol). After stirring at room temperature for 5 min, freshly generated sodium salt prepared from 40 [(142 mg, 0.58 mmol) in dry DMF (5 mL) at  $0^{\circ}$ C was added 60% NaH (22 mg, 0.95 mmol and stirred for 30 min at room temperature) and stirred at 100  $\degree$ C for 22 h. The DMF was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was partitioned between water  $(2 \text{ mL})$  and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 3:7) to furnish 43 (62 mg, 39%) as a colorless solid.

From 42: To the degassed reaction mixture containing 24  $(200 \text{ mg}, 0.64 \text{ mmol})$ ,  $PPh_3 (20 \text{ mg}, 0.076 \text{ mmol})$ , and imide 42 (108 mg, 0.82 mmol) in DMF (4 mL) was added  $Pd_2(dba)$ <sub>3</sub> (35 mg, 0.038 mmol) and stirred at 70 °C for 32 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc; 3:7) to furnish  $43$  (115 mg, 54%) as colorless solid; mp: 105–107 °C. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3129, 1790, 1726; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta = 4.31$  (d, J=6.4 Hz, 2H), 5.06 (s, 2H), 6.44 (dt,  $J=15.6$ , 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (t,  $J=3.2$  Hz, 1H), 6.77  $(d, J=15.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 6.83 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 7.15 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 7.24 \text{ (d,$ J=2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.37 (m, 3H), 7.47 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(75 \text{ MHz})$ :  $\delta = 40.7, 51.3, 113.8, 117.6, 117.9, 119.3, 120.8,$ 126.3, 127.6, 128.7, 129.4, 136.2, 138.1, 140.1, 149.5, 158.6; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{19}H_{17}N_4O_2$   $(M+H)^+$ 333.1346, found 333.1336.

2.1.25. N-[(E)-3-(1-Benzyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)prop-1-enyl] 1H-pyrrole-2-carboxamide (41).To 43 (50 mg, 0.15 mmol) in THF (3 mL) was added 10% aqueous sodium hydroxide  $(0.2 \text{ mL})$  and heated at 70 °C for about 15 min. The reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ . The organic solution was washed with water, dried  $(Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)$ , filtered, and concentrated. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (MeOH/EtOAc; 5:95) to furnish the pure  $41$  (33 mg,  $72\%$ ) as a colorless solid; mp:

137–139 °C. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3229, 1625, 1560, 1522;<br><sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz CD<sub>0</sub>OD):  $\delta$ -3.92 (dd. *I*-1.4.6.0 Hz <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$ =3.92 (dd, J=1.4, 6.0 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 2H), 6.03 (dd,  $J=4.2$ , 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.11 (dt,  $J=15.6$ , 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.28 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.67  $(dd, J=3.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (dd, J=2.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.92$  $(s, 1H), 7.11 (d, J=7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.16-7.24 (m, 4H),$ 7.53 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$ =40.8, 50.5, 109.0, 110.6, 117.3, 121.7, 122.7, 124.5, 125.7, 127.5, 128.1, 128.8, 137.0, 137.8, 139.8, 162.5; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{18}H_{18}N_4O$   $(M+H)^+$  307.1553, found 307.1547.

2.1.26. Ethyl 5-(1H-imidazol-4(5)-yl)-2-aminopentanoate (44). From 37: To a stirred solution of the diphenylamine Schiff's base 37 (140 mg, 0.30 mmol) in dry ethanol, was added 10% Pd/C (100 mg), followed by anhydrous ammonium formate (285 mg, 4.53 mmol) in one portion. The resulting heterogeneous reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 14 h under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was filtered over Celite and the filter cake was washed repeatedly with hot ethanol. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure followed by purification of the residue by chromatography on silica gel (MeOH/EtOAc; 1:4) furnished 44 (60 mg, 94%) as viscous oil.

From 38: To a stirred solution of the nitroester 38 (300 mg, 0.91 mmol) in dry ethanol (5 mL), was added 10% Pd/C (120 mg), followed by anhydrous ammonium formate (0.6 g, 9.5 mmol) in one portion. The resulting heterogeneous reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 3 h under argon. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and the filter cake was washed repeatedly with hot ethanol. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the pure amino ester 44 in quantitative yield (192 mg). IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2937, 1731; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz})$ :  $\delta = 1.23$  (t,  $J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H), 1.55–1.9 (m, 4H), 2.61 (m, 2H), 3.43 (m, 1H), 4.13 (q,  $J=7.2$  Hz, 2H), 6.73 (s, 1H), 7.51 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta$ =14.2, 25.4, 26.3, 34.2, 54.2, 61.0, 117.1, 134.5, 136.5, 175.8. HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{10}H_{18}N_3O_2$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 212.1394, found 212.1390.

2.1.27. 5-(1H-Imidazol-4(5)-yl)-2-aminopentanoic acid (45). The amino ester 44 (50 mg, 0.24 mmol) was treated with NaOH (18 mg, 0.45 mmol) in 3:2 ethanol/water (5 mL) and was allowed to stir at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 mL). The aqueous layer was rendered acidic with concd HCl solution and loaded on to a prewashed (resin was washed with 100 mL of methanol followed by 100 mL of water) Dowex  $50x2$ , 200 (H<sup>+</sup> form) ion-exchange resin. The column was eluted first with distilled water (100 mL) until the pH was neutral and then with 100 mL of 15% ammonia solution. The eluate was concentrated under reduced pressure to furnish the colorless crystals of the amino acid 45 (85% yield, 37 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$ =1.75 (m, 2H), 1.83 (m, 2H), 2.60 (t,  $J=6.6$  Hz, 2H), 3.66 (t,  $J=5.1$  Hz, 1H), 6.83 (s, 1H), 7.64 (s, 1H).

2.1.28. Ethyl 5-[(4E)-3-(1-benzyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)]-2 nitro-2-(2-propynyl)pent-4-enoate acid (46). Propargyl bromide (80 wt % toluene solution, stabilized by MgO,

<span id="page-10-0"></span>0.451 mL, 4.01 mmol) was added to a mixture containing the nitroacetate 38 (1.1 g, 3.3 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (600 mg, 4.35 mmol), and benzyl triethylammonium chloride (75 mg, 0.33 mmol) in dry  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  (10 mL) and stirred at room temperature overnight. After removing the acetonitrile at rt, the residue was partitioned between  $CH_2Cl_2$  and water. The organic layer was dried  $(Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)$ , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography using (hexane/EtOAc, 2:3) to furnish pure 46 as thick oil in 41% (500 mg) yield. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3293, 1750, 1555; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.27$  (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.11 (t, J=2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.07– 3.26 (m, 4H), 4.27 (q,  $J=7.2$  Hz, 2H), 5.00 (s, 2H), 6.05  $(dt, J=15.6, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.42 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (s,$ 1H), 7.15 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.47 (s, 1H); 13C NMR (75 MHz): δ=13.9, 24.4, 36.7, 51.0, 63.3, 73.3, 76.0, 93.5, 117.3, 117.8, 127.4, 128.2, 128.5, 129.1, 135.8, 137.7, 140.0, 165.1; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{20}H_{22}N_3O_4$ (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 368.1605, found 368.1588.

2.1.29. Ethyl 1-benzyl-6-nitro-1,4,4a,5,6,7-hexahydroindeno[5,6-d]imidazole-6-carboxylate (47). The substrate 46 (115 mg, 0.31 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (20 mL) in a resealable pressure tube. The solution was degassed by bubbling  $N<sub>2</sub>$  through the mixture for a few minutes and then the tube was sealed and heated at  $145\degree$ C for 48 h. Finally, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, followed by purification of the residue by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc; 16:3) furnished the cycloadducts 47 (55 mg, 48%, viscous oil) and 48 (32 mg, 28%, viscous oil). IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>):=1747, 1552; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ =1.277, 1.271 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.26 (m, 1H), 2.46–2.64 (m, 1H), 2.86–3.34 (m, 4H), 3.52–3.59 (m, 1H), 4.26, 4.27  $(q, J=7.2 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 5.02 \text{ (ABq, } J=15.7 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 6.02 \text{ (d, }$  $J=2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.09 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.36 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz): δ=13.9, 28.6, 29.1, 39.7, 40.0, 40.3, 40.4, 40.7, 41.7, 48.8, 63.3, 97.3, 98.7, 108.8, 109.0, 126.8, 127.6, 128.2, 129.1, 135.8, 135.9, 136.1, 137.1, 137.4, 137.6, 166.6, 166.8 (mixture of diastereomers); HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{20}H_{22}N_3O_4$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 368.1605, found 368.1588.

2.1.30. Ethyl 1-benzyl-6-nitro-1,5,6,7-tetrahydroindeno[5,6-d]imidazole-6-carboxylate (48). The substrate 46 (130 mg, 0.35 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (30 mL) in a resealable pressure tube. The solution was bubbled with  $N_2$  for a few minutes and 10% Pd/C (0.270 g) was added. The tube was sealed and heated at  $145^{\circ}$ C for 48 h. Finally, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation followed by purification of the residue by chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc; 4:1) furnished the cycloadduct 48 as thick oil in yield (50 mg, 39%). IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2924, 1747, 1553;<br><sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ -1 29 (t. *I*-7 3 Hz, 3H), 3 87 (dd. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ =1.29 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 3H), 3.87 (dd,  $J=17.1$ , 2.7 Hz, 2H), 4.03 (d,  $J=17.4$  Hz, 1H), 4.13 (d,  $J=17.4$  Hz, 1H), 5.00 (s, 2H), 4.31 (q,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 7.21 (m, 2H), 7.33 (m, 3H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.91 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta$ =13.9, 41.7, 42.0, 49.0, 63.3, 99.4, 106.0, 116.0, 127.0, 128.4, 129.2, 132.2, 133.1, 135.3, 166.7; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{20}H_{20}N_3O_4$ (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 366.1448, found 366.1439.

2.1.31. Ethyl 6-amino-1,5,6,7-tetrahydro-indeno[5,6 d]imidazole-6-carboxylate (49). To a stirred solution of the nitroester 48 (60 mg, 0.164 mmol) in dry ethanol, was added 10% Pd/C (30 mg), followed by anhydrous ammonium formate (0.103 g, 1.64 mmol) in one portion. The resulting heterogeneous reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 18 h under argon. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and was repeatedly washed with hot ethanol. The filtrate was concentrated by rotary evaporation followed by purification of the residue by chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc; 1:19) provided the pure amino ester 49 (22 mg, 55%) as a colorless oil. In addition, the deaminated derivative 50 (17 mg, 45%) was isolated as a white solid. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2980, 1725; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz):  $\delta$ =1.26 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 2.90 (d,  $J=15.8$  Hz, 2H), 3.61 (d, J=15.8 Hz, 2H), 4.19 (q, J=7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (s, 2H), 7.66 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta$ =14.3, 45.6, 61.5, 65.8, 111.4, 135.6, 137.4, 140.5, 176.4. HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{13}H_{16}N_3O_2$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 246.1237, found 246.1233.

2.1.32. Ethyl 1,5,6,7-tetrahydro-indeno[5,6-d]imidazole-**6-carboxylate** (50). Mp:  $121-123$  °C. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2956, 1729; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$ =1.28 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 3.31 (m, 5H), 4.17 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (s, 2H), 7.99 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz):  $\delta$ =14.3, 36.0, 44.7, 60.7, 110.8, 137.2, 140.3, 175.4; HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{13}H_{15}N_2O_2$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 231.1128, found 231.1123.

2.1.33. 6-Amino-1,5,6,7-tetrahydroindeno[5,6-d]imidazole-6-carboxylic acid (49). The amino ester 49 (15 mg, 0.061 mmol) was treated with NaOH (4 mg, 0.12 mmol) in 3:2 methanol/water (5 mL) and was allowed to stir at room temperature for 6 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$ (3 mL). The aqueous layer was rendered acidic with concd HCl solution and loaded on to prewashed (resin was washed with 100 mL of methanol followed by 100 mL of water) Dowex  $50x2$ ,  $200$  (H<sup>+</sup> form) ion-exchange resin. The column was eluted first with distilled water (100 mL) until neutral pH was achieved and then with 15% ammonia solution (100 mL). The eluate was concentrated under reduced pressure to furnish the colorless crystals of amino acid 51  $(11 \text{ mg}, 83\%)$ . Mp: >260 °C. IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3105, 2123, 1579; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta = 3.21$  (d,  $J=16.9$  Hz, 2H), 3.82 (d,  $J=16.9$  Hz, 2H), 7.47 (s, 2H), 8.08 (s, 1H); HRMS-ESI: calcd for  $C_{11}H_{12}N_3O_2$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 218.0924, found 218.0920.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the NIH (GM065503) and the Welch Foundation (Y-1362) for financial support of our programs. The NMR spectrometers used in this project were purchased in part with support from the NSF (CHE-9601771 and CHE-0234811).

## References and notes

- 1. (a) Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Munro, M. H. G.; Northcote, P. T.; Prinsep, M. R. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2005, 22, 15; (b) Berlinck, R. G. S.; Kossuga, M. H. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2005, 22, 516.
- 2. Hoffmann, H.; Lindel, T. Synthesis 2003, 1753.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>3. (a) Kinnel, R. B.; Gehrken, H. P.; Scheuer, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3376; (b) Kinnel, R.; Gehrken, H.-P.; Swali, R.; Skoropowski, G.; Scheuer, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 3281.
- 4. For synthetic efforts toward palau'amine: (a) McAlpine, I. J.; Armstrong, R. W. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 5674; (b) Overman, L. E.; Rogers, B. N.; Tellew, J. E.; Trenkle, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7159; (c) Belanger, G.; Hong, F.-T.; Overman, L. E.; Rogers, B. N.; Tellew, J. E.; Trenkle, W. C. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7880; (d) Katz, J. D.; Overman, L. E. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 9559; (e) Dilley, A. S.; Romo, D. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1535; (f) Dransfield, P. J.; Wang, S.; Dilley, A.; Romo, D. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1679; (g) Dransfield, P. J.; Dilley, A.; Wang, S.; Romo, D. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 5223; (h) Koenig, S. G.; Miller, S. M.; Leonard, K. A.; Lowe, R. S.; Chen, B. C.; Austin, D. J. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2203; (i) Garrido-Hernandez, H.; Nakadai, M.; Vimolratana, M.; Li, Q.; Doundoulakis, T.; Harran, P. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 765; For a review see: Jacquot, D. E. N.; Lindel, T. Curr. Org. Chem. 2005, 9, 1551.
- 5. Urban, S.; de Almeida Leone, P.; Carroll, A. R.; Fechner, G. A.; Smith, J.; Hooper, J. N. A.; Quinn, R. J. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 731.
- 6. For synthetic efforts toward the Axinella alkaloids see: Starr, J. T.; Koch, G.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 8793. See also Refs. 4e–g and 4i.
- 7. Nishimura, S.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibazaki, M.; Suzuki, K.; Furihata, K.; van Soest, R. W. M.; Fusetani, N. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2255.
- 8. (a) Lovely, C. J.; Du, H.; Dias, H. V. R. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1319; (b) Lovely, C. J.; Du, H.; Dias, H. V. R. Heterocycles 2003, 60, 1; (c) He, Y.; Chen, Y.; Wu, H.; Lovely, C. J. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3623; (d) Lovely, C. J.; Du, H.; He, Y.; Dias, H. V. R. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 735; (e) He, Y.; Du, H.; Sivappa, R.; Lovely, C. J. Synlett 2006, 965.
- 9. For a related strategy see Refs. 4f–h.
- 10. Sellier, C.; Buschauer, A.; Elz, S.; Schunack, W. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1992, 317.
- 11. The transposed product could not be purified, but was observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture.
- 12. He, Y.; Sivappa, R.; Lovely, C. J. unpublished results.
- 13. Magid, R. M. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 1901.
- 14. He, Y. Ph.D. Dissertation. The University of Texas at Arlington, Texas, 2005.
- 15. (a) Heumann, A.; Reglier, M. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 975; (b) Trost, B. M.; Van Vranken, D. L. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 395;

(c) Trost, B. M.; Crawley, M. L. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2921.

- 16. There are several reports where imidazole derivatives have been used as nucleophiles in this type of chemistry. (a) Saville-Stones, E. A.; Lindell, S. D.; Jennings, N. S.; Head, J. C.; Ford, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1991, 2603; (b) Bolitt, V.; Chaguir, B.; Sinou, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 2481; (c) Kimbonguila, A. M.; Boucida, S.; Guibe, F.; Loffet, A. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 12525; (d) Mellegaard-Waetzig, S. R.; Rayabarapu, D. K.; Tunge, J. A. Synlett 2005, 2759.
- 17. He, Y.; Chen, Y.; Du, H.; Schmid, L. A.; Lovely, C. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 5529.
- 18. Giambastiani, G.; Poli, G. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 9608.
- 19. Papadopoulos, E. P. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 351.
- 20. Bailey, D.; Johnson, R. E. J. Med. Chem. 1972, 37, 351.
- 21. Initial cycloaddition experiments have been attempted with both 33 and 36. In the case of 33, cycloaddition occurs providing the expected cycloadduct i and the aromatized congener ii, however, 36 failed to undergo cycloaddition.



- 22. Siya, R.; Ehrenkaufer, R. E. Synthesis 1986, 133.
- 23. Siya, R.; Spicer, L. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 515.
- 24. (a) Pirrung, M. C.; Pei, T. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 2229; (b) Lee, Y.; Martasek, P.; Roman, L. J.; Masters, B. S. S.; Silverman, R. B. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1999, 7, 1941; (c) Altman, J.; Wilchek, M.; Lipp, R.; Schunack, W. Synth. Commun. 1989, 19, 2069.
- 25. After submission of this manuscript we became aware that the Shair group (Spoering, R. M., Ph.D. Dissertation, Harvard University, 2005) have explored the use of 42 and a dibrominated congener as a nucleophile in Mitsunobu chemistry, a reaction that we have also investigated with 42 and a variety of imidazole containing alcohols (Bhandari, M. K.; Mukherjee, S.; Sivappa, R.; Lovely, C. J. unpublished results).